The Digital Banking Revolution: Effects on Competition and Stability

Philip E. Strahan, Boston College and NBER Conference on Financial Regulation

February 2024

Very Ambitious Paper

- Digital Technology allows *branchless* competition
- Digital Technology reduces bank loan losses overall, but increases them for low-income borrowers
- Digital Technology makes deposit funding less stable

Broad Concern about Empirical Design

- Main endogenous variable = 1 if bank has adopted digital technology (Fg. 1)
- The instrument varies only across banks, not over time
- This would be correct if:
 - Effect of technology on *adoption* were time invariant
 - Bank and customer <u>use of technology</u> were time invariant
- <u>Suggestion: Show us dynamic diff-in-diff style</u> results (graphs)

Digital Banking Revolution

- Rise of websites and mobile apps are transforming business models across many industries
- Leading way to access banking services (Source: FDIC)
- Widespread adoption by banks



Branchless Competition

- Major change in banking
- Branching has had massive effect on:
 - Bank competition
 - Information
 - Movement of capital across markets
 - Market openness

Implications of Branchless Competition

- Greater Capital Mobility
 - Improved allocation of capital (efficiency enhancing)
 - But greater risk of capital 'flightiness'
- Greater market contestability
 - More efficiency, greater supply of intermediation services, lower prices for customers
- Policy approach needs to change for both antitrust and CRA

Branchless Competition Role of non-banks

- Most of the branchless competition comes from non-banks
 - True in small business lending & mortgage lending

- (Figures)

Result I: Rise of Nonbank lending



Non-bank share reaches 70% by 2020

- 1. Nonbank lenders provide more loans than banks to small businesses
- 2. Large increase in nonbank lending starting in 2010

Sharp Trend in Non-banks' Share



Source: HMDA

Branchless Competition Branches still Matter

• Within banking, however, simple (time-series) metrics <u>do not</u> support the story

– Figures

Bank Distance to Small Borrowers (Cyclical, but no Trend)



See Granja, Leuz and Rajan, JF, 2022

1-9

No Trend (since 2010) in Bank Distance to Mortgage Borrowers



Digital Technology and Credit Risk

- Paper argues that expected losses decrease by 38% due to advent of digital technology, but more than doubles for low-income borrwers
 - Huge effect. Driven by model parameterization
 - 16 estimated parameters go into the model: Standard errors?
- <u>Suggestion: Add reduced form evidence on</u> <u>loan losses</u>

Digital Technology and Deposit Instability

- Much discussion about runs in context of SVB Failure (2023)
 - Direct effect: easy to move money
 - Indirect effect (this paper): more uninsured deposits
- Bright side: 2023 Gross deposit flows were twice as large as Net flows
 - Large *reshuffling* of funds from low-loan-return to high-return banks (Maingi, 2024).

Assessment

• Paper makes three super-impactful claims

- I believe 2 of them, but the evidence could be constructed more transparently
 - (Not convinced regarding credit risk)

• Thank you!