

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING



Are carrier groups, traditional fighter wings, and infantry divisions anachronistic or will they remain timeless assets in both conventional and unconventional warfare of the future?

- Max Boot, [*War Made New: Technology, Warfare, and the Course of History, 1500 to Today*](#) (Gotham Books, 2006).
- Jerry Hendrix, "[The U.S. Navy Needs to Radically Reassess How It Projects Power](#)," *National Review*, April 23, 2015.
- Seth Cropsey & Bryan McGrath, "[America's Defense Still Requires Aircraft Carriers](#)," *National Review*, April 23, 2015.
- Jerry Hendrix, "[The Navy Must Adapt](#)," *National Review*, April 23, 2015.
- C-SPAN Video of Debate between McGrath & Hendrix: [Aircraft Carriers and Maritime Strategy](#) (January 9, 2015).
- Douglas Macgregor, [*Breaking the Phalanx: A New Design for Landpower in the 21st Century*](#) (Greenwood Publishing Group, 1997).
- [*Transforming Defense in the 21st Century: Report of the National Defense Panel*](#) (December 1997).
- U.S. Army Posture Statement, [*Operational Army: The Objective Force*](#) (2003).
- Department of Defense, [Air-Sea Battle Office, Air-Sea Battle: Service Collaboration to Address Anti-Access & Area-Denial Challenges](#) (2013).
- H.R. McMaster, [Crack in the Foundation: Defense Transformation and the Underlying Assumption of Dominant Knowledge in Future War](#), Student Issue Paper (Center for Strategic Leadership, U.S. Army War College, November 2003).
- Patrick M. Cronin, ed., [The Impenetrable Fog of War: Reflections on Modern Warfare and Strategic Surprise](#) (Greenwood Publishing Group, 2008).
- Thomas Donnelly and Frederick Kagan, [Ground Truth: The Future of U.S. Land Power](#) (AEI Press, 2008).

STRATEGIKA

CONFLICTS OF THE PAST AS LESSONS FOR THE PRESENT

Hoover Institution | Stanford University | www.hoover.org | 650-725-3461
Copyright © 2015 by the Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University

