# Policy Rules and Forward Guidance Following the Covid-19 Recession

David Papell and Ruxandra Prodan University of Houston Hoover Economic Policy Working Group June 8, 2022

## **Hoover Monetary Policy Conference**

- How Monetary Policy Got Behind the Curve
  - FOMC Did Not Follow Policy Rules
  - Even those in Accord with the August 2020 Revised Statement
  - Followed September 2020 Forward Guidance
- How to Get Back
  - Five More FOMC Meetings in 2022
  - Four 50 Basis Point and One 25 Basis Point Rate Increases
  - Smoother Path with Policy Rule than FOMC Forward Guidance

### What is "Behind the Curve"?

### Standard Answer

- Liftoff from Effective Lower Bound Should Have Been Earlier
- Federal Funds Rate Should Have Been Raised Faster After Liftoff
- No Benchmark for What "Earlier" and "Faster" Mean
- Our Answer
  - Define Benchmark by Policy Rule Prescriptions
  - "Too Late and Too Slow"

## **How Monetary Policy Got Behind the Curve**

### Two Standard Answers

- Inflation Higher and Unemployment Lower Than Expected
  - Powell, Yellen, Waller, etc.
  - Would Have Acted Sooner if We Had Known
- The Fed Should Have Expected Higher Inflation
  - Summers
- Our Answer
  - FOMC Should Have Followed Policy Rule Prescriptions
  - Not Based on Being Surprised by Inflation

### **FOMC Since the Covid-19 Recession**

### March 2020

- Decreased FFR to Effective Lower Bound
- 0.0 0.25 Percent, Midpoint of 0.125 Percent
- March 2022
  - Raised FFR by 25 Basis Points to 0.25 0.5 Percent
  - Six More 25 Basis Point Rate Increases in 2022 in SEP
- May 2022
  - 50 Basis Point Rate Increase
  - Signaled 50 Basis Point Increases in June and July
  - Recently Leaning Towards No "September Pause"
  - Consistent with CME FedWatch Tool

## Revised Statement on Longer-Run Goals and Monetary Policy Strategy August 2020

- □ Flexible Average Inflation Targeting
  - "following periods when inflation has been running persistently below 2 percent, appropriate monetary policy will likely aim to achieve inflation *moderately* above 2 percent for some time"
- Mitigate Shortfalls, Rather then Deviations, of Employment from its Maximum Level.
  - Unemployment in Longer-Run Not in Statement
  - Remains in Summary of Economic Projections

## FOMC Forward Guidance September 2020

### Maintain Target Range of FFR at ELB

- "until labor market conditions have reached levels consistent with the Committee's assessment of maximum employment and inflation has risen to 2 percent and is on track to moderately exceed 2 percent for some time."
- Rob Kaplan (Dallas Fed) Dissent
  - Preferred Greater Policy Flexibility
  - Distinction Between "Accommodative" and "Zero"

### **FOMC and Policy Rule Forward Guidance**

- FOMC Forward Guidance Projections
  - FFR Projections from SEP's for September 2020 March 2022
- Policy Rule Forward Guidance Prescriptions
  - Data and Forecasts from the Same SEP's
    - Unemployment Gap and Inflation
  - Concurrent Prescription Based on Real-Time Data
  - Future Prescription Based on SEP Forecasts

### **Policy Rules for Forward Guidance**

- Non-Inertial Rules Where Prescribed FFR Equals Desired FFR
- Taylor

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + (U_t^{LR} - U_t)$$

• 
$$r_t^{LR} = 0.5$$
,  $\pi^{LR} = 2.0$ , and  $U_t^{LR} = 4.0$ 

Balanced Approach

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + 2(U_t^{LR} - U_t)$$

Taylor (Shortfalls)

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + \min\{(U_t^{LR} - U_t), 0\}$$

Balanced Approach (Shortfalls)

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + 2\min\{(U_t^{LR} - U_t), 0\}$$

### **Policy Rules for Forward Guidance**

- Taylor (Consistent)
  - $R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t \pi^{Mod}, \pi_t \pi^{LR}) + \min\{(U_t^{ME} U_t), 0\}$
  - $\pi^{Mod} = 2.2$  and  $U_t^{ME} = 3.5$
- Balanced Approach (Consistent)

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{Mod}, \pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + 2\min\{(U_t^{ME} - U_t), 0\}$$

Inertial Rules Where Prescribed FFR Adjusts Towards Desired FFR

• 
$$R_t = pR_{t-1} + (1-p)R_t^P$$

### **Non-Inertial and Inertial Policy Rules**

- Standard Rules (Taylor, Balanced Approach) Non-Inertial
  - Attain Desired FFR within the Period
  - Used for Normative Analysis (Comparing Deviations)
- Inertial Rules
  - FFR Adjusts Slowly Towards Desired FFR
  - Used for Positive Analysis (Estimation)
- Policy Rule Forward Guidance
  - Normative Analysis with Inertial Rules
  - Incorporate FOMC Practice of 25 Basis Point FFR Increases

### **Policy Rule and FOMC Forward Guidance**

- Policy Rule Forward Guidance
- Prescribed FFR when Inflation and Unemployment Goals Attained
- Taylor Rule as an Example

• 
$$R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^{LR}) + (U_t^{LR} - U_t)$$

Prescribed FFR when Inflation and Unemployment Gaps are Zero

$$\bullet \ R_t = r_t^{LR} + \pi_t$$

•  $r_t^{LR} = 0.5$  and  $\pi_t = \pi^{LR} = 2.0$  from Summary of Economic Projections

•  $R_t$  = Neutral Nominal Rate = 2.5

- FOMC Forward Guidance
  - Liftoff from Effective Lower Bound so  $R_t = 0.25 0.5$

#### **Summary of Economic Projections for September 2020**



#### Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for December 2020**



#### Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for March 2021**



#### **Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules**



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for June 2021**



#### **Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules**



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for September 2021**



#### Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for December 2021**



#### Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules



#### **Summary of Economic Projections for March 2022**



#### **Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules**

#### **Panel B. Inertial Rules**



### **Policy Rule Prescriptions For 2022 - 2024**

- Policy Rules in Accord with FOMC Objectives
- □ Flexible Average Inflation Targeting Has Become Irrelevant
- Cannot Return to Taylor and Balanced Approach Rules
  - FOMC Cares About Unemployment Shortfalls Not Deviations
- Cannot Use Consistent Rules
  - FOMC Not Trying to Keep Unemployment at Current 3.6 Percent
- □ Taylor and Balanced Approach (Shortfalls) Rule
  - Identical Prescriptions
  - Forecasted Unemployment Never > 4 Percent
  - Median Among the Six Rules

### How to Get Back with Shortfalls Rules

Quarter	Non-Inertial	Inertial	FOMC
2022:Q1	5.875	1.625	0.375
2022:Q2	5.875	2.125	1.375
2022:Q3	5.875	2.625	2.375
2022:Q4	5.625	3.125	3.125
2023:Q1	5.125	3.375	3.375
2023:Q2	4.625	3.625	3.625
2023:Q3	3.875	3.625	3.625
2023:Q4	3.375	3.625	3.375
2024:Q1	3.375	3.625	3.375
2024:Q2	3.125	3.625	3.125
2024:Q3	3.125	3.375	3.125
2024:Q4	2.875	3.375	2.875

#### **Policy Rule and FOMC Prescriptions**



#### **Panel A. Non-Inertial Rules**



## How to Get Back by December 2022

### FOMC Path

- Liftoff of 25 Basis Points in March 2022
- Five 50 Basis Point Increases and One 25 Basis Point Increase
- FFF = 3.125 at End of 2022
- Balanced Approach (Shortfalls) Rule Path
  - Liftoff of 25 Basis Points in September 2021
  - Ten 25 Basis Point Increases
  - One 50 Basis Point Increase (December 2021)
  - FFF = 3.125 at End of 2022

## Conclusions

- Policy Rules as Alternative to FOMC Forward Guidance
  - Consistent Rules in Accord with August 2020 Revised Statement
  - Shortfalls Rules in Accord with FOMC Pivot in 2022
  - Inertial Rules for Upward Path of FFR in 2021 2023
  - Non-Inertial Rules for Downward Path of FFR in 2024
- □ FOMC Fell Behind the Curve in September 2020
  - About 100 Basis Points Behind at March 2022 Liftoff
- □ How to Get Back on Track by End of 2022
  - FOMC Five 50 Basis Point Increases in 2022
  - Policy Rules One 50 Basis Point Increase