Index

- Abelmann, Charles H., 83
- Academic Performance Index, 107, 115: how calculated, 108; low-scoring schools, 111–4; rewards program for, 111; Stanford-9 test and, 110; target scores, 110
- Accountability: classic bureaucratic, 24; compared, 34; contemporary idea of, 9; current controversy over, 9, 84; history of, 9; in nineteenth century schools, 10; market-style, 26; minority populations and, 19; policymakers' attitudes toward, 16–17; professional educators' attitudes toward, 15-16; resistance to, 18; student performance and, 19 Accountability programs. see Accountability systems Accountability strategies, 26, 29: amenability to implementation, 31-2; basic

criteria for, 30; charter schools, 37; compared, 38–9, 42; interdependence of, 43; Kentucky, 56, 60–1

Accountability systems: arguments against, 47-8; benefits of, 47; California, 107-20; case studies of states' costs, 54-63; characteristics of successful, 106; components of, 47, 90; components of Texas system, 124; current practice, 82–92; differences in states' expenditures for, 51; ensuring that they effect change, 101-3; expense of, 48; Florida, 107; implementation of, 75, 98–103; objectives of, 90; problems in, 155; public opinion of, 52; questions for evaluation of, 10–17; student outcomes and, 81; tension between incentives and, 88; Texas, 61-2, 107;

Virginia, 62–3; Washington, 62–3 Aggregate ratings systems, 89 AIMS test, 54 Alice. see Market-style accountability American Federation of Teachers: attitudes toward testing, 17 Alan, Richard, 6 Astin, Alexander W., 2 Attitudes toward testing: in the 1930s and 1940s, 13; of the AFT, 17; of the NEA, 17; policymakers', 16; professional educators', 16

- Belden, 83
- Belfield, Clive R., 44
- Bennett, William J., 183
- Betts, Julian R., 6, 97
- Board of Regents, 98-9
- Bob. see Bureaucratic compliance
- Bishop, John, 6, 92, 160, 176
- Bishop, Michael, 6
- Bishop, Wayne, 132
- Bormuth, John R., 181
- Bureaucratic compliance, 27, 28: defined, 24
- Bush, George H. W., 79
- Bush, George W., 26, 40
- Bush, Jeb, 146
- Business leaders: state collaboration with, 16
- Butler District 53, 168–76: compared to other districts, 175–7
- California, 107–20: alignment of standards and accountability, 117–8; transition to standards aligned assessment, 118; poverty-

gap analysis, 165–6; Public Schools Accountability Act, 107; *table*, ranking of schools by county, 164–7

- California accountability system: administration and, 120–1; fairness of, 116–7, 120; as a means of information, 116–7; impediments to improving the system, 119; sanctions and, 120; teachers' unions and, 120; teacher performance in, 119–20
- California Standards Tests, 108
- Campbell, David E., 31
- Carlson-Le Floch, Kerstin, 124
- Carol. *see* Professional-style accountability
- Charter schools, 18, 28, 40: accountability hazards of, 38; accountability strategies of, 37–8; and student achievement, 31; and market-style accountability, 33, 36–7; bad schools and, 44–5; closings of, 36–7; how well they are working, 36–7; monitoring of, 35; taking stock of, 36–7; to whom they are accountable, 35; what we can learn from, 34–7
- Chavez, Erika, 108–9
- Cizek, Gregory J., 178
- Child-centered schooling, 12–13
- Choice programs, 15, 28: in Alum Rock, CA, 14
- Class size, 63–9, 78: vs. costs of accountability programs, 63–9

Index

- Clinton, Bill, 25
- Clotfelter, Charles T. 94
- Clopton, Paul, 105, 130,
- 132–3, 135
- Coleman, James, 14, 178
- Coleman Report. *see* Equality of Educational Opportunity
- College Boards. *see* College Entrance Examination Board (CEEB)
- College Entrance Examinations: in nineteenth century schools, 10
- College Entrance Examination Board (CEEB): creation of, 10; expectations of, 11
- Commonwealth Accountability Tests, 60
- Community control of schools, 14
- Content standards: Stanford-9 test, 108; California, 115; TEKS test, 123
- Cost of accountability programs; *table*, as a share of public school spending, 70; Arizona, 54-5, 57, 59; table, California, 55-6, 59-60; case studies, 54-63; table, comparison of state expenditures, 52-4; conclusions drawn from, 73; how tabulated, 48; table, Kentucky, 56, 60–1; per-pupil spending, 69; putting in perspective, 63–9; state expenditures and, 50; test makers revenues and, 49-50; table, Texas, 57, 61–2; vs. class size reduction, 63–9; vs. raising teachers' compen-

- sation, 68; *table*, Virginia, 58, 62–3; *table*, Washington, 58, 62–3
- Cost of assessment: *table*, National measures of the cost of assessment, 51
- Cost of educating American children, 50
- Costrel, Robert M., 6, 97
- Crabbe, Larry, 109
- Cribb, John, 183

Criterion-referenced assessment, 85

- Current practices of accountability programs: content standards, 82–4; deriving composite measures, 86; goals, 82; measurement, 84; reporting, 89; uses and consequences, 90–2
- Cut-off point for passing, 86, 98: in Florida, 144; TAAS, 122, 134–5; teacher focus and, 95; California's High School Exit Exam, 115
- Davis, Governor Gray, 107, 114, 116
- Decentralization of schools, 14–15
- Deere, Donald, 96
- Deibert, Edward, 89
- Design Principles, 156–64; General principles, 156–9; examination principles, 159–64
- DeMary, Jo Lynne, 6
- Democratic Party: and school vouchers, 20
- Downes, Thomas A., 79
- Dphrepaulezz Diallo, 105
- Duffy, Mark C., 124, 142, 145

- Educational psychology, 11
- Elmore, Richard F., 83
- Evers, Williamson M., 7, 185
- Equality of Educational Opportunity, 14
- Evaluation of teachers, 85, 173–4: change of personnel and, 101; levels of student performance and, 93; passing scores, 97; using student test scores in the, 92
- EXPLORE Academic Assessment, 171
- Exit exams: in Texas, 124
- Exit vouchers, 40: in California, 119; in Florida, 147, 149–51; in Texas, 139–40
- FCAT. *see* Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test, (FCAT)
- Feinstein, Kate, 105
- Figlio, David, 41
- Finn, Chester E., Jr., 6, 7, 23, 32, 37, 115, 185
- Flanagan, Ann, 6, 131
- Fleishhacker, David, 109
- Florida, 140–51: compared to California, 112; Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), 140–8; cut-off points for passing, 144; employee compensation, 145–6; exit-vouchers, 147, 149–51, 150–1; High School Competency Assessment Test, 142; low scoring schools, 149; merit pay, 146; minority populations, 148; motivation to improve schools, 150; non-English speaking students, 148;

other tests in, 142; rewards and sanctions, 149; school accountability report, 145; Sunshine State Standards, 40; teacher evaluation, 146; value-added analysis, 145

- Florida's A+ Program, 40–1, 92: components of, 140; grading system for, 142–3; individual student progress and, 143; merit pay, 146
- Florida Accountability System: fairness of, 148; as a means of information, 148
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), 140–8: compared to Stanford-9, 147; compared to TAAS, 147; scoring of, 142, 144; use of results, 145
- Florida Writes! Exam, 142
- Fuhrman, Susan H., 83
- Garst, Jean E. 133, 138 Gerlach-Downie, Suzanne, 160 Goals 2000 program, 25, 79-80 Goetz, Margaret, 16, 115, 117, 124, 142, 145 Goff, John M., 85 Golden State Exams, 59–60 Goodnough, Abby, 43 Governor's Performance Awards program, 111 Gramlich, Edward M., 96 Greene, Jay P., 41, 105, 131-2, 147, 149-51 Grissmer, David W., 6, 131 Haertel, Geneva D., 160 Hamilton, Laura S., 131
- Haney, Walter, 123

Index

Hanushek, Eric A., 7, 77–9, 92, 94-5, 102, 104, 132, 186 Hauser, Robert M., 4 Herman, Joan, 110 Heterogeneous populations, 96 - 8Heubert, Jay P., 4 High Performing-Improving Schools Program, 107 High School Exit Exam, 114–5 Hill, Paul, 36 Hirsch, E. D., 28 History of testing, 9 Horn, Sandra P., 95 Howell, William G., 31 Hoxby, Caroline M., 2, 7, 44, 106, 186 "Hutchins degree" programs, 182 Hutchins, Robert M., 182 Immediate Intervention-**Underperforming Schools**

Index Program, 107–8 Incentives for schools, 91–2: adjusting vs. maintaining, 99–100; application of accountability data, 92–8; efficiency and, 101-2; efficacy in the California accountability system, 118; heterogeneous populations and, 96-8; issues to consider before implementing, 99; O'Donnell Foundation Study, 179; relation to consequences, 99; relation to rewards, 99; use of single-cut scores and, 95-6 Inputs: defined, 15, 16: manip-

ulating, 31; regulation of, 81; vs. outcomes, 81 Issues of implementation: feasi-

bility, 98–9; focus on re-

sults, 157–8; rewards and sanctions, 99; testing the premises, 98–9 Izumi, Lance T., 7, 151, 186

Jerald, Craig D., 129–130, 135 Joncich, Geraldine M., 12 Jorgenson, Dale W., 92 Judson, Jeff, 129, 135–6

K–12Reports, 164; and povertygap analysis, 167–8
Kane, Thomas G., 94
Kain, John F., 94–5, 102
Kanstoroom, Marci, 6, 32
Kawata, Jennifer, 131
Keegan, Lisa Graham, 59
Koshel, Patricia P., 96
Kimko, Dennis D., 77
Klein, David, 132
Klein, Stephen P., 89, 131
Koretz, Daniel, 89

Ladd, Helen F., 94 Lake, Robin, 36 Law of Effect, 12 Law of Exercise, 12 Laws of Learning, 12 Levin, Henry, M., 44 Levy, Harold, O., 43 Low-scoring schools: and Academic Performance Index, 111; and merit pay, 146; in the California accountability system, 119–20; in Florida, 146–7; in Texas, 127 - 8Lucia, Bill, 105 Ludger, Woessmann, 3, 179

Magyar, Roger, 120 Mane, Ferran, 6 Manno, Bruno V., 37 Market-style accountability: defined, 26; amenability to

- implementation, 32
- Market-style reform, 34
- McCaffrey, Daniel, 89, 131
- Measuring standards compliance, 84
- Measurement accuracy, 93-5
- Measurement errors, 94
- Mechanics of performance measurement, 85
- Merit pay: in Butler District 53, 176; in Florida, 146; policymakers' and educators' attitudes toward, 17
- Miles, Karen Hawley, 78
- Miller, Barbara, 108
- Minority populations: and Academic Performance Index, 111; accountability systems and, 96; and California accountability system, 116; and K–12Reports, 167–8; and reporting of scores, 163; and TAAS, 135, 136–7; in Florida, 148; in Texas, 136; school vouchers and, 20; TAAS and, 130–1; testing and student performance, 19
- Moe, Terry, 1
- Moriarity, Joan, 6
- Musick, Mark D., 33
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 15, 50, 76, 130: *table*, performance of 17-year olds, 77: and reporting of scores, 163; and TAAS, 131
- National Education Association: attitudes toward testing, 17

Nineteenth-century schools, 10 - 11Norm-referenced tests, 85 Non-English speakers: Stanford-9 test, 116; in Florida, 148 Outcomes, 81: vs. inputs, 81 Outputs: defined, 15 Page, Marianne, 41 Paradigms of Education, 16 Paradigms: professional education, 21; policymakers', 21 Passing scores, 97 Patterson, Chris, 105, 127, 135 Paying students to learn, 180 Performance contracting, 96 Performance standards: use of, 90

- Peterson, Paul E., 31
- Petrilli, Michael E., Jr., 32, 115
- Policymakers, 18: and accountability strategies, 30; attitudes toward accountability, 16; attitudes toward merit pay, 17; attitudes toward privatization, 18; attitudes toward vouchers, 19
- Poor performance: dealing with, 101
- Preventing cheating, 69, 71: on Stanford-9 test, 109–10
- Private schools: advertising and, 45; and market-style accountability, 33; and state testing, 45; and student achievement, 31
- Privatization, 15
- Productivity, 2
- Professional accountability: amenability to implementation, 32

- Professional educators: attitudes toward accountability, 15–16; attitudes toward merit pay, 17; attitudes toward privatization, 18; attitudes toward vouchers, 19
- Professional-style accountability, 27, 29: defined, 24
- Progressive Education Movement, 13
- Progressive Hegemony, 13
- Public school resources: *table*, class size and, 78; *table*, productivity and, 78
- Public Schools Accountability Act, 107
- Rand Corporation reports, 131-2
- Ranking schools, 110: *table*, California counties, 164–7; in Texas, 126
- Rating schools, 89–90: *table*, information used in the creation of, 91; in Texas, 124–6; TAAS, 136
- Ravitch, Diane, 6, 9, 187
- Raymond, Margaret E., 7, 186
- Reconstitution: defined, 18
- Regents diploma, 98
- Resources, 20: student performance and, 101–2
- Republican Party: and school vouchers, 20
- Rewards: in Texas, 127, 137, 139–40; in Florida A+
 - program, 145–6
- Richardson, Gerry, 144
- Rivkin, Steven G., 78, 94–5, 102
- Rothstein, Richard, 78
- Russonello, 83
- Saunders, William L., 95, 171

- Sanctions: in Florida, 146–7; in Texas, 127, 137, 139–40
- Schools in the 1930s and 40s, 13
- School Accountability Report Card, 114
- School performance: increased resources and, 78; individual teachers and, 95; outcomes vs. inputs, 79; tracking of, 89
- School report cards, 18, 89: in Texas, 124
- School spending in the US: *table* (state-by-state comparison), 64–7
- Sea change in policy perspective, 80–1
- Shanker, Albert, 17, 21
- Sizer, Theodore, R., 179
- Social promotion in the 1930s and 40s, 13–14
- Somers, Julie A., 79
- Staff mobility across schools, 94
- Staiger, Douglas O., 94
- Standards, 17, 83–4, 156: controversy surrounding, 84; minority populations and, 19; student performance and, 19; variations between schools, 182
- Standards and accountability movement, 90
- Standards-based accountability, 29: amenability to implementation, 32
- Standards-based reform, 27, 34, 80: defined, 24; altered classroom behavior and, 83–4; student performance and, 83–4; Standards of Learning, 5
- Stanford-9 standardized test, 108, 115: alignment with

- standards, 117–8; as a norm-referenced test, 111; as a tool of accountability, 109; compared to FCAT, 147; non-English speakers and, 116; *table*, povertygap analysis, 169–70; preventing cheating on, 109; rewards program for, 111
- STAR system, 59-60
- State accountability programs, 105: California, 107–20; Texas, 121–40
- State expenditures for accountability, 50–4: reasons for differences in, 51
- State testing, 32-3
- Stecher, Brian, 89, 131
- Stepp, Holly, 142
- Stewart, 83
- Stotsky, Sandra, 134
- Student accountability, 177-83
- Student achievement, 15: public opinion and, 21; setting standards for, 83; standards-based reform and, 30; test scores as a measure of, 16
- Student performance, 101: alternate measurements of, 88; *table*, Butler District 53, 174–5; factors affecting, 86–7, 104; quality of teachers and, 87
- Student mobility across schools, 93–4
- Strayer, Wayne, 96
- Tachney, Suzanne, 109 TAAS. see Texas Assessment of
- Academic Skills TAKS, 134

- Teacher accountability in nineteenth-century schools, 11
- "Teaching to the test," 5, 69, 156: avoidance of, 71–2,
- on Stanford-9 test, 109–10 Teachers' unions, 17: and the
- California accountability system, 119
- Teachers' salaries, 20: raising compensation vs. cost of accountability, 68
- Teacher performance: and declining test scores, 173–4; and the California accountability system, 119–20; FCAT scores and, 145; using derived measures to assess, 95
- Ted. *see* Standards-based reform
- TEKS. see Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills Test (TEKS)
- Testing, 13: abbreviations for various tests, 152-3; and student accountability, 178; as an assessment of student performance, 84; balance in, 162; Butler District 53, 172-3; commercially prepared tests, 49; changes in student motivation as a result of, 92; cut-point for passing, 86; current controversy over, 9; external validity, 103; effect on learning, 178; history of, 9; in the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 4-5; in nineteenth-century schools, 10; in twentieth-century schools, 11; multiple-

choice tests, 159-60; non-commercially prepared tests, 49; of teachers, 85; other factors considered with, 86; preparation of, 49; score expression, 160-1; state, 32-3; student apathy toward, 119; student performance and, 19; techniques used by states, 86-7; timeliness of results, 159; tracking individuals over time and, 89; valid items and test format, 85; variation between schools, 182

- Testmakers: commercial, 49; noncommercial, 49; revenue from accountability programs, 49
- Texas, 120-40: Academic Excellence Indicator System, 125-6; alignment of curriculum to standards, 138; comparative improvement, 126; learning index, 126; low-performing schools, 127-8; Public Education Grant Program, 128; rating schools, 124-6; reconstitution of schools, 128; reviews of content standards, 134; rewards and sanctions, 126–7, 137; Special Accreditation Investigation, 128; what schools are accountable for, 129; who schools are accountable to, 135
- Texas Accountability System: fairness of, 136; as a form of information, 137

Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS), 121-5: compared to FCAT, 147; criticism of, 129; cut-off points for passing, 134–5; efficacy of, 132; focus on minimal achievement, 133; low-performing students, 138–9; minority populations and, 130-1, 135; NAEP and, 131; percent of students passing, 129, 136-7; Rand Corporation reports, 131–2; rating schools, 136; scores compared to other states, 130; validity of scores, 129, 133; variability in, 138

- Texas Board of Education, 122
- Texas Education Agency, 61, 124
- Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills test (TEKS), 123, 134
- Texas Learning Index, 126
- Thorndike, Edward, L., 11–2 "Tight-loose" management

theory, 42

- Tonjes, Laurence A., 133, 138
- Value-added Analysis, 145, 161–2: ranking California schools, 167; in Butler District 53, 171–3; in Florida, 148; in Tennessee, 144
- Vanourek, Gregg, 37
- Virginia Standards of Learning, 62–3
- Vouchers, 26: and Florida's A+ program, 40–1; and lowincome children, 41; and market-style accountability, 33; and student achievement, 31; as a form of accountability, 20; attitudes

toward, 19–20; bad schools and, 44–5; theory of, 19; policy battles over, 20; *see also* Exit vouchers

Walberg, Herbert J., 1, 3, 6, 8, 42, 160, 177–8, 180, 187 Wang, Margaret C., 42 Welch, Finis, 79 Whittle, Chris, 43 Williamson, Stephanie, 131 Wolf, Patrick J., 31 Wong, Kenneth, 41–2

Wyatt, Edward, 43