

Index

- ABA. *See* American Bar Association
- abortion, fetus, viability of, 7–8;
O'Connor's position on, 4; personal, fundamental rights and, 5–6;
Reagan's position on, 4; trimester approach to, 6
- abortion cases, *City of Akron v. Akron Center* (1983) and, 6; *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey* (1992) and, 6, 9, 10; *Roe v. Wade* (1973), 4–10; *Webster v. Reproductive Health Services* (1989) and, 5–7
- academic performance, black, 154–56, 189–92; “academic disengagement” and, 190–91; factors affecting and, 189–92; Ogbu, on, 190–91
- Adarand Constructors v. Pena* (1995), 64, 118, 167
- admission percentage plans, generic qualities of, 72–74
- affirmative action, academic grade inflation and, 18; black academic performance, post-UM and, 189–92; Boalt Hall Law School, UC Berkeley, and, 74–75, 78, 115; broadcast outlets, minority ownership, and, 56; Burger, on race neutrality in, 24; California Civil Rights Initiative (Prop. 209) 1996, 67–71, 85, 103, 115, 194–95; case categories and, 45–47; Caucasian acceptance at UM and, 113–14; CEO race preference data (2001) and, 181–82; dropout rates and, 16; economic doctoring of, 86–91; employment's effect on, 18; essential benefits of diversity and, 168–69; Fifth/Fourteenth Amendment protections and, 11–14; Florida Plan and, 82–86; government interest in, 13; government set-asides and, 23–25; Haas Business School and, 75; Harvard “Big Lie” and, 15; Harvard Law School plan and, 14–15; legal challenges to, 2, 10, 12; low socioeconomic students and, 89–90; merit-based access and, 90; minority admissions' postpreferences, and, 74–82; O'Connor “perpetual interest” in, 171–72; O'Connor on evolving ideals in, 63–65; O'Connor on “strict scrutiny,” 31–32; O'Connor “one analysis” and, 46–47; O'Connor's record on, 2–3; One Florida plan and, 82–85, 196; percentage plans and, 67–91; Princeton University and, 16; race-conscious admissions and, 17–18; race-norming and, 73; race preferences of, 11; racial injustice,

- affirmative action (*continued*)
 demonstrations, and, 162–66; reverse
 affirmative action and, 18; SAT,
 MCAT, ACT, AP, grade scores and,
 16, 71–72, 74, 79, 83, 85–87, 89, 94–
 96, 106, 108–15, 119, 127, 154–56,
 189–91, 194–95, 197; Steelcase Inc.,
 159; troubling issues and, 17
- affirmative action cases, *Adarand
 Constructors v. Pena* (1995) and, 64,
 118, 167; *Bakke v. Regents of the
 University of California* (1976), 12;
Brown v. Board of Education (1954),
 182, 195; *California v. Bakke* (1978),
 12, 17, 18, 25, 31, 32, 50, 60, 69, 109–
 12, 117–18, 134, 140–42, 144, 145,
 150–53, 156–57, 159–62, 172–74,
 177–78, 180–84, 193; *Croson
 Company v. City of Richmond* (1985)
 and, 34, 50, 51–56, 60, 118, 135, 167;
*Firefighters Local 93 v. Cleveland
 Union No. 1784 v. Stotts* (1984), Title
 VII, and, 28, 29, 33; *Gratz v. Bollinger*
 (2000) and, 105; *Gratz v. Bollinger*
 (2003) and, 133–34, 177, 179, 182,
 193; *Griggs v. Duke Power Company*
 (1971) and, 43; *Grutter v. Bollinger*
 (2002) and, 85, 105; *Grutter v.
 Bollinger* (2003) and, 133–34, 151–
 54, 166–69, 180–81, 193; *Hopwood v.
 Texas* (2000) and, 69–70, 78–82, 103,
 121; interpretation of, 18–23;
Johnson v. Transportation Agency
 (1987) and, 47–50, 54; *Local 28 of
 Sheet Metal Workers v. EEOC* (1986)
 and, 37–42; *Marks v. United States*
 (1997) and, 118, 121–22, 166–67;
Metro Broadcasting Inc. v. FCC
 (1990) and, 56–62, 135, 167; *Miller v.
 Johnson* (1995) and, 63; *United
 States v. Paradise* (1987) and, 42–43,
 46; *United Steelworkers v. Weber*
 (1979), 21–23
- Alabama Department of Public Safety,
 42
- Alger, Jonathan, American Assoc. of
 University Professors and, 104–5
- Amar, Vikram David, 3
- amendments, constitutional, Burger on
 post–Civil War amendments, 23–24;
 Fifth, due process and, 11–14; Fifth,
 equal protection and, 121; First and,
 11–14, 100, 101, 122, 176;
 Fourteenth, 11–14, 36, 53, 58, 61–64,
 72, 149, 176; Fourteenth/Fifth, due
 process, equal protections in, 11, 29;
 Powell on Thirteenth/Fourteenth,
 and, 24–25
- American Assoc. of University
 Professors, UM amicus brief and,
 104–5
- American Bar Association (ABA),
 importance of race preference and,
 158–59
- American Council on Education, 157–
 58
- Amherst College, 143
- Asian American Legal Foundation,
 152–53
- Atkinson, President Richard (UC), 71–
 72, 81
- Baker, Lisa, public affairs, UM and, 94
- Bakke, Alan, 12, 16, 18
- Bakke v. Regents of the University of
 California* (1976), 12
- BAM. *See* Black Action Movement
- BAMN. *See* Coalition to Defend
 Affirmative Action by Any Means
 Necessary
- Barron's Guide, SES defined by, 86–91
- Batchelder, Acting Chief Judge Alice
 M., Sixth Circuit Court and, 130
- Black Action Movement (BAM), 97–99;
 strike action at UM and, 98–99
- UM concessions to, 98–99
- Black, Justice Hugo L., on *Memoirs of a
 Woman of Pleasure v. Massachusetts*
 (1966), 122
- Blackmun, Justice Harry A., 32, 45, 118
- Blum, Edward, Campaign for Color
 Blind America and, 79
- Boggs, Judge Danny, courtroom politics
 challenged by, 129–30; Sixth Circuit
 Court and, 108–9, 123–31; Sixth
 Circuit Court UM ruling, and, 128–
 29, 130

Index

201

- Boise State University, 143
- Bok, Derek, *The Shape of the River* (Bok & Bowen), 87, 115, 124, 185
- Bollinger, Lee, affirmative action and, 167; Michigan Mandate and, 104; UM free speech and, 100–101
- Bond, Julian, civil rights activism and, 128
- Boston Globe*, 101
- Bowen, President William G. (Princeton), on learning through diversity, 14; *The Shape of the River* (Bok & Bowen), 87, 116, 124
- Brennan, Justice William, 1, 19, 20, 32, 35, 38–39, 45, 57, 60–61, 117–18; agreements for remedial action, and, 36; benign government classifications and, 60; “Brennan group” of justices and, 117–18; “narrowest grounds” and, 122; “racial distinctions” and, 39; on *United States v. Paradise* (1987) employment and, 44, 45
- Breyer, Justice Andrew, 1, 61, 139–41
- Brooke, Senator Edward, Public Works Employment Act and, 23–25
- Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), 182, 195
- Burger, Chief Justice Warren E., 20; challenge to Title VII and, 20–21; *Fullilove v. Klutznick* (1980), decision on, 23–24, 54, 58, 64; on post-Civil War constitutional amendments, 23–24; on race-neutral measures in affirmative action, 24
- Bush, George W., 70, 141, 153; race preference and, 153
- race-neutral minority admissions and, 70
- Bush, Governor Jeb, One Florida, Talented 20 plan and, 67, 82–85, 196; percentage plans and, 67–69, 85–86
- Bush v. Gore* (2000), 1
- Cain, Director Bruce E., UC Berkeley Institute of Governmental Studies and, 75
- California Board of Regents, 68, 70–71, 103
- California Civil Rights Initiative (Proposition 209) 1996, banned racial preferences and, 67–71, 85
- California v. Bakke* (1978), 12, 17, 18, 25, 31, 32, 50, 60, 69, 109–12, 117–18, 134, 140–42, 144, 145, 150–53, 156–57, 159–62, 172–74, 177–78, 180–84, 193
- Caminker, Evan, amicus brief and, 104–5
- Campaign for Color Blind America, 79
- Carnevale, Anthony P., *Socioeconomic Status, Race/Ethnicity, and Selective College Admissions* (Carnevale & Rose), 86–87, 88
- Casse, Senator Clifford, Title VII sponsor and, 21
- Cellar, Emmanuel, Title VII and, 21
- Center for Equal Opportunity, 85, 181; Gurin report and, 152; Lerner and, 106; Naigi and, 106, 107; race preference data (2001) and, 181–82
- Center for Individual Rights (CIR), 85, 95–97
- Center for New Black Leadership, on black-white academic achievement gap, 154–56; Sixth Circuit Court and, 131
- Chronicle of Higher Education*, Michigan Mandate and, 104
- CIR. *See* Center for Individual Rights
- CIRP. *See* Cooperative Institutional Research Program
- City of Akron v. Akron Center* (1983), 6
- civil rights, “stretching civil rights” and, 34–50
- Civil Rights Act of 1964, 11, 19, 23, 29, 33, 35–36, 45–47, 49–50, 181; Title VII interpretations and, 20–22, 28, 29, 33, 35–36, 41–50; voluntary race remedial action and, 36
- Clark, Senator Joseph, Title VII sponsor and, 21
- Clay, Judge Eric Lee, Mellon Foundation study, 123–25; on race, 123; Sixth Circuit Court and, 123–25
- Clegg, Roger, Center for Equal Opportunity and, 85

- Cleland, John, *Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure* (Cleland), and, 121–22
- Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action by Any Means Necessary (BAMN), racial injustice, demonstrations, comments, and, 162–66
- Cohn, U.S. District Court Judge Avern, UM policy on Discrimination and Discriminatory Harassment and, 101
- Colburn, Provost David, on recruiting competition, 84
- Coleman, President Mary Sue (UM), UM argument, 150, 180
- College Board, 155
- College Reach-Out Program (CROP), State of Florida and, 85–86
- Connerly, Ward, 68–69, 76–77, 85, 193, 196
- Connor, Bull, Alabama helmet police and, 2
- Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP), Gurin, for, 106
- CROP. *See* College Reach-Out Program
- Croson v. City of Richmond*, appeals court reversal and, 52
- Culp, Jerome McCristal, Jr., “Second Reconstruction” discussion by, 2–3
- Davis, Governor Gray, 71
- Department of Commerce, government set-asides by, 23–25
- Detroit News* (1996), 93–97, 99; on race-neutral admissions, 93–97
- Detroit News* (1997), on race preferences, 94–95
- discrimination, Boggs, Sixth Circuit Court and, 123–31; discussion on, 17–18; employment access and, 18–19; Franklin on UM support and, 116; Harvard Plan 1930s Jewish admissions and, 177; Jim Crow and, 191; Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp and, 19, 48; Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp., Title VII interpretation, and, 20–22; O’Connor, appropriate minority hiring goals, and, 35; O’Connor on arguments, remedies, and, 33; Powell on racial v. societal, 47–50, 54; quotas and, 154; race preference, President George W. Bush, and, 153; racial injustice, demonstrations, comments, and, 162–66; on redressing past and present, 18–25; on remedies for historical, societal, 12–13, 17; Shaw, impact of racism, 116; *Sheet Metal Workers* “relevant labor pool” and, 37; UM constitutionality finding (2001), 117–19; UM critical mass objective and, 15–16, 95, 114, 117, 118, 126, 149, 168, 170; UM Literature, Science, and Arts grid scoring and, 108–10; UM Policy on Discrimination and Discriminatory Harassment and, 100
- discrimination cases, *Adarand Constructors v. Pena* (1995), 64, 118, 167; *Firefighters Local Union No.1784 v. Stotts* (1984), and, 28, 29, 33; *Gratz v. Bollinger* (2000) and, 105; *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and, 133–34, 151–54, 166–69, 180–81, 193; *Hopwood v. Texas* (2000), 69–70, 78–82, 103, 121; *John Doe v. University of Michigan* (1989), 101; *Johnson v. Transportation Agency* (1987), 47–50, 54; *Marks v. United States* (1997), 118, 121–22, 166–67; *Miller v. Johnson* (1995), 63; *Shaw v. Reno* (1993), 62, 135; *Sheet Metal Workers v. EEOC* (1986), “pervasive violations” and, 37, 38; *Sweatt v. Painter*, 169; *Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education* (1986), 28–29, 32, 34, 47, 52, 184
- diversity, corporate, O’Reilly, on, 187–88; Williams, on, 187–88
- Douglas, Justice William O., on *Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure v. Massachusetts* (1966), 122
- Douglass, Frederick, on justice for blacks, 175–76
- Duderstadt, President James (UM), 101–2
- Duggan, Judge Patrick J., on educational benefits of diversity, 109–10, 114–15, 119; Sixth Circuit Court and, 108–10, 114–15

Index

203

- Educational Testing Service (ETS), 71–72
- EEOC. *See* Equal Employment Opportunities Commission
- Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, affirmative action and, 33–34, 36, 39, 50; minority “underrepresentation” and, 19, 33–34
- Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972, 39
- equal protection, 11, 12–13, 29, 69, 121, 128, 139, 153–54; benign race consciousness and, 12–13; Title VII and, 29
- ETS. *See* Educational Testing Service
- FCAT. *See* Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test
- Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, equal protection and, 69
- Firefighters Local 93 v. Cleveland* (1986), 34–37
- Firefighters Local Union No. 1784 v. Stotts* (1984), 27
- Fitton, Thomas, Judicial Watch and, 130
- Fleming, Robben W. (UM), 97–100; free speech regulation and, 97–100
- Florida, amicus brief against UM and, 68; Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test, 86; on Florida Plan college admissions, 82–83; on Talented 20 college admissions, 83–84
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), 86
- Florida Plan, a “better” way and, 82–86; on mentoring students, 82–83; race-preference characteristics of, 82–83
- Florida State University, Tallahassee, 74, 84
- Florida, Supreme Court, 69
- four essential freedoms, Frankfurter and, 158
- Fourteenth and Fifth Amendments, affirmative action and, 36
- Fourth Circuit Court, University of Georgia system and, 147
- Frankfurter, Justice Felix, “four essential freedoms” and, 158
- Franklin, John Hope, on UM discrimination case support, 116
- Friedman, Judge Bernard A., on *Bakke* case, 117–19; on UM constitutionality finding (2001), 117–19
- Fullilove v. Klutznick* (1980), 23–24, 46, 54, 58, 64
- General Motors, on diversity and cross-cultural skills, 157
- Ginsburg, Justice Ruth Bader, 1, 61, 137–39, 149, 182–83, 194; on basic civil rights, 182–83; Green Brief and, 137–39, 149
- Giraldo, Zaida, 103–4
- Goldberg, Prof. David, Michigan Mandate and, 102–3
- Gratz, Jennifer, 95–96, 198; UM admission and, 95–96; UM v. CIR, plaintiff and, 95–96
- Gratz v. Bollinger* (2000), 105
- Gratz v. Bollinger* (2003), 133–34, 177, 179, 181, 193
- Green Brief, minority military candidates and, 136–39, 157
- Griggs v. Duke Power Company* (1971), 43
- Grutter, Barbara, 96–97, 135, 152–53, 198; plaintiff, CIR v. UM Law School in, 96–97
- Grutter v. Bollinger* (2002), 85, 105
- Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003), 133–34, 151–54, 166–69, 180–81
- Gurin, Patricia Y., UM classroom diversity and, 106–8
- Gurin Report, 107–8, 121, 152
- Hammacher, Patrick, 95–96, 198; plaintiff, CIR v. UM and, 95–96
- Harlan, Justice John, historic *Plessy v. Ferguson* dissent, 25
- Harvard Plan, admissions program (Powell) and, 141, 144–45, 177;

- Harvard Plan (*continued*)
 Harvard modern-day admissions and, 128, 141, 144–45, 177
- Harvard University, 14, 84
- Heller, Donald, on SES disadvantaged students, 88
- Hofstra College, 143
- Hopwood v. Texas* (2000), 69–70, 78–82, 103, 121; Fourteenth Amendment and, 69–70
- Humphrey, Senator Hubert, 19–21, 28; Kaiser-USWA debate and, 19–21
- Issacharoff, Samuel (Columbia), on academic diversity levels, 184, 187
- Hopwood* and, 184
- Jackson, Jesse, BAM visit and, 99–100
- Jacoby, Jeff, *Boston Globe* and, 101
- Jaye, David, UM admissions hearings and, 95–97
- Jim Crow, 191
- John Doe v. University of Michigan* (1989), 101
- Johnson, Judge Frank M., *United States v. Paradise* (1987) and, 42, 43–47
- Johnson v. Transportation Agency* (1987), 47–50, 54, 121
- Judicial Watch, complaint (2003) filed by, 130; Fitton, and, 130
- Justice Department, 187
- Kahlenberg, Richard, Century Foundation and, 87–88
- Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp., 19–23, 48; black work force, preferences, and, 19–23, 48; Title VII interpretation and, 19–22
- Karabel, Jerome, UC Berkeley and, 75
- Kaza, Gregg, legislator, on UM admissions hearings, 95–97
- Kennedy, Justice Anthony M., 8, 47, 58, 64, 135–36, 138, 143–45, 149, 173–75; on proposed *Gratz* quota, 149; on UM argument, 135–36, 138, 143–45, 149, 173–75
- King, Martin Luther, 191
- Kirk, Ron, Dallas mayor, on race preference removal, 79
- Kolbo, Kirk O., 105, 135–40, 147–48; Center for Individual Rights and, 105; Green Brief, military academies, and, 137–39; *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and, 135–40, 148; Maslon, Edelman, Borman & Brand and, 105
- Kors, Alan, *Shadow University* and, 101
- Kronman, Anthony T., on diversity, 183
- Larntz, Kinley, on Caucasian acceptance at UM, 113–14; University of Minnesota Applied Statistics, 113
- Law Review*, 162, 165–66, 186
- Lehman, Jeffrey, predictions on UM race preference and, 115
- Lerner, Robert, Center for Equal Opportunity and, 106, 107
- Lincoln, President Abraham, equal protection and, 128
- Lipset, Seymour, Martin, public opinion analysis and, 152
- Literature, Science, and Arts (UM), 108; grid scoring at, 108–10
- Local 28 of Sheet Metal Workers v. EEOC* (1986), 37–42, 46; “pervasive violations” and, 37–42
- Los Angeles Times*, on race neutral admissions at UF, 84
- Lowell, President, Harvard plan, modern-day admissions program, and, 127–28
- LSA. *See* Literature, Science, and Arts
- Lynch, Frederick R., *Diversity Machine*, 102–3
- Mahoney, Maureen, *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2002) and, 105; *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and, 133, 142–47; Latham and Watkins and, 105
- Malcolm X, 162
- Marcus, Judge Stanley, *Johnson v. Transportation Agency* (1987) and, 121
- Marks v. United States* (1997), 118, 121–22, 166–67
- Marshall, Justice Thurgood, 1, 32, 45,

Index

205

- 56, 60–61, 117–18; benign government classifications and, 60–61
- Martin, Chief Judge Boyce F., Sixth Circuit Court, 121–22, 129–30
- MBE. *See* minority business enterprise
- McManus, Michelle, UM admissions hearings and, 95–97
- Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure v. Massachusetts* (1966), pivotal to *Marks v. United States* and, 121–22
- Metro Broadcasting Inc. v. FCC* (1990), 56–62, 167; reversal of, 64
- Michigan Association of Scholars, political correctness and, 93
- Michigan Freedom of Information Act, 94
- Michigan Mandate, Duderstadt, and, 102–3; Gingrich Revolution and, 103; Lynch, and, 102–3; *Michigan Review* on, 103–4
- Michigan State University, 96–97
- Miller v. Johnson* (1995), 63
- minority business enterprise (MBE), 23–24, 52, 54, 58; female consideration and, 54–55; historical performance and, 24
- Mitchell, Clarence, Public Works Employment Act and, 23–25
- Moore, Judge Karen Nelson, Sixth Circuit Court and, 129–30
- Muir, Jeff, *Michigan Review* on Michigan Mandate and, 103–4; on UM drop in selective ranking, 103–4
- NAACP, 42, 116, 183–84
- NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 116
- Naigi, Althea K., Center for Equal Opportunity and, 106
- National Association of Scholars, Gurin report and, 152; political correctness and, 93; Sherman, and, 106; Wood, and, 85, 106, 193
- Nevitte, Neil, public opinion analysis and, 152
- New York Times*, 148
- Norris, Judge William A., Sixth Circuit Court and, 129
- NYU *Law Review* (1997), race neutral admissions data and, 156–57
- O'Connor, Justice Sandra Day, 23, 25, 26, 27–34, 40–65, 130–31, 135, 140, 146, 150, 154, 157, 166–73, 176–78, 181, 193; “a lurch to the left” and, 56–65; on abortion, 4–10; on affirmative action record, 2–3, 27; affirmative action, refining principles of, 45–47; benign racial classification and, 58–59; conciliation with justices and, 32; on “disparity studies,” 55; on essential benefits of diversity, 168–69; *Firefighters Local Union No. 1784 v. Stotts* (1984), 27, 28–29; first affirmative action case and, 27; *Fullilove v. Klutznick* (1980) and, 23–24, 46, 54, 58, 64; on good faith, 40–41; Gurin Report and, 108; ideals in affirmative action and, 63–65; individualistic views and, 34; as leading judicial voice, 51; Michigan Mandate and, 104; minority hiring goals and, 35; on “narrow tailoring,” 170–71; “one analysis fits all” and, 46–47; on “perpetual interest” in affirmative action, 171–72; personal background of, 3; pivotal power of, 1–2; *Plessy v. Ferguson*, 25; on race-conscious decisions, 53–54; race, humanity, and, 3; on racial gerrymandering, 61–63; on redistricting, 61–63; on rigid racial quotas, 40–41; societal discrimination and, 33; state politics of, 3–4; on “substantial statistical disparity,” 40–41, 48; as Supreme Court first woman appointee, 3; Supreme Court “ill-defined approach” and, 48; on *Sweatt v. Painter* (1950), 169; UM Law School and, 59; on UM race neutral alternatives, 171; *United States v. Paradise* (1987) and, 42–43, 46; *United Steelworkers v. Weber* (1979) and, 19–23, 25; voting position of, 1–2; *Wygant v. Jackson Board of*

- O'Connor, Justice (*continued*)
Education (1984), 28–29, 32, 34, 47, 52, 184
- Office of Federal Contract Compliance Review, 50
- Ogbu, John U., 190–91
- Olson, Theodore, B., 133–34, 140–41, 148, 149, *Bush v. Gore* (2000) and, 133–34; *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and, 133–34, 148; on Harvard Plan and, 141; *Hopwood v. Texas* (2000) and, 134; on race-neutral options, 134–35
- One Florida plan, 67, 82–85, 196, referendum and, 196
- Orfield, Gary, on UM case support, 116
- Orwell, George, *Politics and the English Language* by, 126
- PAI. *See* personal achievement index
- Payton, John, *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2002) and, 105
Grutter v. Bollinger (2003) and, 133–34
- Pell grant, 88
- Pell, Terence, Center for Individual Rights and, 85; on race preference in *Washington Post*, 85
- Pennsylvania State University, on SES disadvantaged students, 88
- personal achievement index (PAI), 80–81
- Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey* (1992), 6, 9, 10
- Politics and the English Language* (Orwell), 126
- Powell, Justice Lewis F., 12–16, 24–25, 29, 30–34, 41–42, 44–45, 60, 69, 110, 117–18, 136, 144–45, 151–52, 159–62, 166–67, 170, 173–74, 177, 193; benign discrimination and, 12–16; “compelling interest,” “narrow tailoring,” and, 41–42; on competitive consideration of race, 15; on employment cases, 30–34; enforcement clauses, Thirteenth, Fourteenth Amendments, and, 24–25; on equal rights, dual standard of, 12–16; ethnic distinctions and, 13; Harvard affirmative action and, 14–15, 122; on Harvard race preferences, “Big Lie,” 15; on “narrow tailoring,” 41–42, 170; quotas and, 145; on race-conscious policies and remedies, 29, 30–32, 60, 69; on racial v. societal discrimination, 30–34; on UM argument, 136, 144–45; *United States v. Paradise* (1987) and, 44–45
- Powell, Secretary of State Colin, 136
- Princeton University, 14, 16, 84
- Proposition 209. *See* California Civil Rights Initiative
- Public Works Employment Act of 1977, 23
- Reagan, Ronald, 3, 4, 39; on abortion, 4; O'Connor's appointment by, 3
- Rehnquist, Justice William, 3, 8, 20–21, 25, 46–47, 57, 64, 172–73, 177, 193; challenge to Title VII and, 20–21; UM disparity among races and, 172; UM racial balancing and, 173
- Rice University, 79
- Roe v. Wade* (1973), 4–10
- Rose, Stephen J., *Socioeconomic Status, Race/Ethnicity, and Selective College Admissions* (Carnevale & Rose), 86–87, 88
- Rosen, Jeffrey, on O'Connor's individualism, 5
- ROTC, 137, 138–39
- Rothman, Stanley, public opinion analysis and, 152
- Sandalow, Terence, on grade inflation, 186
- SAT, MCAT, ACT, AP, grade scores, 16, 71–72, 74, 77, 79, 83, 85–87, 89, 94–96, 106, 108–15, 119, 127, 154–56, 181, 186, 189–91, 194–95, 197
- Scalia, Justice Antonin, 6, 8–9, 46–47, 49, 57–58, 64, 142–46, 147, 176–77; on Harvard Plan, 144–45; on ignoring the Constitution, 142–45; quotas and, 145–46
- scholarships, need and merit, UM, and, 101–2; race-specific, University of Florida and, 85
- Schuck, Peter, 174, 184

Index

207

- “Second Reconstruction,” 2–3
 SES. *See* socioeconomic status
The Shadow University, 101
 Shaker Heights, Ohio, black academic performance and, 190–92
The Shape of the River (Bok & Bowen), 87, 115, 124, 185
 Shapiro, President Harold, 99
 Sharlot, Michael, on preference removal, 78–79
 Shaw, Ted, on impact of racism, discrimination, 116; NAACP Legal Defense Fund, 116
Shaw v. Reno (1993), 62, 135
Sheet Metal Workers v. EEOC (1986), “pervasive violations,” and, 37, 38
 Sherman, Malcolm J., National Association of Scholars and, 106
 Silvergate, Harvey, *Shadow University* and, 101
 Sixth Circuit Court, 121–31
 socioeconomic status (SES), admission statistics and, 86–91; critics and, 88–90
 Socioeconomic Status, Race/Ethnicity, and Selective College Admissions (Carnevale & Rose), 86–87, 88
 Souter, Justice David H., 9, 137–38; on military academies, 137–38
St. Petersburg Times, on candidate background v. SAT scores, 84
 Stevens, Justice Potter, 44, 49–50, 137–38; on military academies, 137–38; on *United States v. Paradise* (1987) and, 44, 49–50
 Stewart, Justice Potter, on *Memoirs of a Woman of Pleasure v. Massachusetts* (1996), 122; on *Plessy v. Ferguson*, 25
 Stillwagon, Allan (1979–1990), UM admissions, discretion and, 111
 Suhrheinrich, Judge Richard F., Sixth Circuit Court and, 129
 Supreme Court, argument, UM cases, and, 133–50; awaiting the UM decision, 161–72; challenges to set-asides and, 23–25; conservative v. liberal positioning of, 1–2; decisions, basis of, 9–10; first woman appointed to, 3; *Fullilove v. Klutznick*, last pre-
 O’Connor affirmative action case, 23, 54, 58, 64; government set-asides and, 23–25; key role in UM decision, 119; on odds of Caucasian acceptance at UM, 113–14; quotas and, 154; sanctioned preferences and, 18–23; Title VI and, 12; Title VII and, 19–21, 28, 29, 33, 35–45, 47–50; UM argument and, 161–72; UM decision, 151–71; UM race preference policy approval and, 110
 Sweatt, Heman, 128, 169
Sweatt v. Painter (1950), 169
 Talented 20 Plan, 82–86
 Tarpley, Joan, 2–3
 Taylor, Judge Anna Diggs, UM finding, 119
 Temple University, 143
 Texas A&M, 81
 Texas Tech, 79
 Thomas, Justice Clarence, 1, 47, 56, 61, 64, 175–76, 182; on equal protection, 175–76
 Title VI, antidiscrimination provisions of, 12
 Title VII, provisions of, 20–21, 28, 29, 33
 Traub, James, *New York Times* and, 75
 UC Berkeley, 15, 74, 78, 88, 143; matrix system and, 15; post–Proposition 209 and, 194
 UC Berkeley Haas Business School, 75
 UC Berkeley Institute of Governmental Studies, 75
 UC Davis, quota system and, 12–13, 142, 144
 UC. *See* University of California
 UCLA, post–Proposition 209 and, 194
 UCLA Law School, 77–78, 115; low-income student plan and, 77–78
 UF. *See* University of Florida
 UM. *See* University of Michigan
United States v. Paradise (1987), 42–43, 46
 United Steelworkers of America (USWA), 19, 20

- United Steelworkers v. Weber* (1979), separation of powers, racial justice in, 21–23
- University of California (UC), 71–72, 75–77, 81, 84; admissions after preference removal and, 75–77
- University of California ETS, 71–72
- University of Florida (UF), 74, 85–86; SAT scores and, 84, 85–86
- University of Georgia, 15
- University of Michigan (UM), 15, 16, 59, 67, 82, 85–86, 93–94, 96, 97, 100, 108–11, 118, 119, 127, 190–92; admission deliberation and, 161–62; *Admissions Policies*, 127; Comprehensive Studies Program, 163; constitutionality finding (2001), 117–19; court room politics and, 119; “critical mass” disparity and, 172; critical mass objective and, 15–16, 95, 114, 117, 118, 126, 149, 168, 170; enrollment targets at, 109; LSA grid scoring at, 108–10; Policy on Discrimination and Discriminatory Harassment and, 100; race-conscious deliberation and, 162–66; selective admissions policies at, 93–94, 96, 97; Supreme Court argument and, 161–72; U.S. Supreme Court finding and, 119; Yellow booklet, guide to discrimination at, 100
- University of Michigan Law School, Report and Recommendations (1992), 111
- University of Texas (UT), 74, 79, 80, 81; admissions after preference removal and, 79–80; personal achievement index and, 80–81
- University of Texas Law School, 15, 74, 78–80, 169; admissions quotas, 69–70, 78–81, 115, 147
- U.S. Constitution, minority allocation of benefits and, 142, 153
- U.S. Department of Education, 105, 197
- U.S. News and World Report, on UM drop in selective ranking, 103–4
- USWA. *See* United Steelworkers of America
- UT. *See* University of Texas
- Vanguard, association of minority firefighters and, 34
- Voting Rights Act (1971), 61–63
- Walker, Bruce, 80
- Wallace, George, 46
- Ward Connerly, on “cascade effect” and, 76–77
- Wayne State University, 136, 169; law graduates in Michigan and, 136
- Weber, Mr., *United Steelworkers v. Weber* (1979) and, 19–23, 36–37, 45, 47–49
- Webster v. Reproductive Health Services* (1989), 5–7; pivotal nature of, 7
- White House, 150
- White, Justice Byron R., 1, 8, 28
- Whyman, Deborah, hearings on UM admissions and, 95–97
- Williams, Katherine Y., 1998 article and, 187–88
- Wood, Thomas E., National Association of Scholars and, 106, 193
- Proposition 209 and, 85
- Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education* (1986), 28–29, 32, 34, 47, 52, 184; appeals court decision and, 52